

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/697,941	10/26/2000	Lee D. Whetsel	TI-20787.2	8789
7.	590 02/11/2002			
Lawrence J. Bassuk P.O. Box 655474, MS 3999 Dallas, TX 75265		EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, VINH P	
Dallas, IA /3	203			NGUYEN, VINH P
		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	
		DATE MAILED: 02/11/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i , - 0		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
. Office Action Summary		09/697,941	WHETSEL, LEE D.		
			Art Unit		
		Examiner			
		VINH P NGUYEN	2858 2829		
 Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apper	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address ∫		
A SHO THE M - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 (October 2000 .			
2a)□	<u></u>	is action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applica	tion.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claims are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to				
	The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved.		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. ≬ 119				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. δ 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
,	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, p	, (-, (,		
-75	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •			
	application from the International Bu see the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e).		
Attachment	(s)				
16) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/697,941

Art Unit: 2858

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1-2, it is unclear what "a digital control structure" represents. Is it shown in any of drawings?

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' admitted prior art figure # 2.

As to claim 1, prior art figure # 2 shows an electronic circuit having a first node (input pad D2), a second node (output pad B2) and a digital control structure (functional core logic). It would have been obvious that if a signal applied or received at a first node, the control structure would transmit that signal to the second node.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/697,941

Art Unit: 2858

Page 3

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by a conventional 5. diode.

As to claims 1-2, a conventional diode would include a first node or terminal and a second node or terminal. When a sufficient voltage is applied to the first node of the diode, the diode starts to conduct and the second node is in activate stage. Furthermore, the diode is qualified as "a digital control structure".

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 6. disclosure.

Rostoker et al (Pat # 5,442,282) disclose testing and excersing individual unsingulated dies on a wafer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 7. should be directed to VINH P. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4914. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

ART UNIT 2859 02/07/2002